

|   |                                      |                                       |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/033,325 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>POULOSE ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>William W. Moore  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1652               |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.

(2) Richard T. Ito, Applicant's Counsel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 April 2004

**Status of Application:** Response after non-final rejection

**Time:** 2:05PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's Counsel for an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 10 clarifying their recitations in a form reviewed by Applicant's counsel, permitting allowance of claims 1-10.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

---

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)